

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,552	08/19/2003	Sandra Leigh Hatfield	102121.00014	102121.00014 6522	
54975	7590 10/26/2007		EXAMINER		
HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP 10 ST. JAMES AVENUE		PATEL, TAJASH D			
11th Floor BOSTON, MA	A 02116-3889		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Boston, wi	102110 3009		3765		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/644,552	HATFIELD ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	· 
20,0,0 a.o. ,g o. a ,pea. 2			
	Tejash D. Patel	3765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	•		lress
THE REPLY FILED 07 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THE			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the followalces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Na Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, af lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing da	te of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) o TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of a under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lat may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(NOTICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponding amount e shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr pinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in confiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any example a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection  (a) They raise new issues that would require further to  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in b	consideration and/or search (see NO low);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling		jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	•	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be</li></ul>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed: 1-7.  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 8-13.	a) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wire will not be entered, or b) □ wire will will will will be will be will will be	ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>6-75</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	and sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appears over and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered I	but does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:

Tejash D Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3765

13. 🛛 Other: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

**Application No. 10/644,552** 

Continuation of 13. Other: The reply filed on 9/7/07 does not offer a clear reason to present a prima facie case of obviousness over Dragone '582.